

I am writing to request an exemption from Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) § 121.311(b) to the extent required for Quinn Cooke to be able to use a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approved child restraint system even though she/he exceeds the weight limits for the CRS.

In addition, if my petition is granted, I request that any air carrier or commercial operator operating under part 121 while Quinn Cooke is aboard its aircraft is granted an exemption from 14 CFR § 121.311(b)(2)(iii)(B) to the extent necessary to allow Quinn Cooke to exceed the specified weight limit for an FAA approved child restraint system during use of that child restraint system aboard an aircraft.

I believe the pertinent section from 14 CFR part 121 from which we seek relief is § 121.311 Seats, safety belts, and shoulder harnesses.

QUINN COOKE is 10 years old, weighs 63 pounds, and is 52 inches tall. QUINN COOKE's physical condition is as follows Intractable Epilepsy. As a result of her/his physical condition, she/he needs the support and security provided by an FAA approved child restraint system, but because of her/his weight/height is incapable of compliance with the regulation.

I am also submitting the following contact information: Ms. Carrie Cooke, 10 Red Fox Run, Wrentham, MA 02093 carrie.cooke11@gmail.com. Mother to Quinn Cooke.

I understand that the regulation is written to create a high level of safety for each individual passenger by ensuring that they are securely restrained in their seats during all phases of flight. The regulation also ensures that an individual does not cause harm to other passengers on the airplane by being thrown into them during turbulent or emergency conditions.

I propose that Quinn Cooke be allowed to occupy an FAA approved child restraint system (CARES, manufactured by AmSafe, Inc.), even though she/he exceeds the manufacturer's weight/height limits. This ensures a high level of safety for Quinn Cooke and a high level of safety for the other passengers and crew on the airplane. In fact, in Quinn Cooke's case, safety is greatly enhanced by the extra support and security that the FAA approved child restraint system will provide during the entire flight.

Enhancing safety for Quinn Cooke is in the public interest. In addition, the public interest is also served by the fact that the use of this FAA approved child restraint system allows her/him to use commercial air transportation. Without the support and security of the FAA approved child restraint system, she/he would be unable to fly commercially.

I also believe that, with certain limitations established by the FAA in a grant to this petition, there can be an equivalent level of safety to that provided by the affected regulation. The CARES child restraint system has been certified by the manufacturer for use by children 22- 44 pounds. However, the

components of the CARES restraint are the same as those used in restraints for adults on aircraft and would maintain their integrity if used by someone who weighed several hundred pounds.

I believe that the limit of 44 pounds was established because, with the additional weight of the child attached to the seatback, the seatback would move forward more quickly in an accident scenario, and this might cause a higher Head Injury Criteria (HIC) load for the person seated in the seat behind the passenger using the CARES child restraint system (because the seatback moving forward more quickly would mean that it would not be there to attenuate the energy from the impact of the person seated behind the person using the CARES child restraint system).

In order to achieve an equivalent level of safety as that provided by the affected regulation, I propose that the FAA establish a limitation in a grant to this petition that Quinn Cooke only sits in a passenger seat with no passenger seated behind her/him. Therefore, no unsafe condition would exist for a passenger seated behind Quinn Cooke while she/he is using the CARES child restraint system. I also propose that all operations under this exemption be conducted with at least one of Quinn Cooke's parents or a caregiver accompanying her/him. In addition, I propose that Quinn Cooke's parent or caregiver must carry a copy of this exemption.

I also request that the processing of this petition not be delayed for publication and comment in the Federal Register. We want to travel beginning/ending travel dates. If you take the time to put this in the Federal Register, it will delay the process, and we may not be able to travel in this timeframe.

If it is necessary to put a summary in the Federal Register, I submit the following:

This is a request for an exemption from 14 CFR § 121.311 (b) to the extent required for a child to use an FAA approved child restraint system on an aircraft, even though the child exceeds the weight limits for the CRS. Due to physical challenges, without the support and security of this FAA approved child restraint system, this child would be unable to fly. We request that this child be allowed to occupy an FAA approved child restraint system (CARES, manufactured by AmSafe, Inc.), even though she/he exceeds the manufacturer's weight limits of 44 pounds. In this case, the safety of this child is greatly enhanced by the extra support and security that the FAA approved child restraint system will provide for her/him during the flight.

Thank you for your consideration of this request